**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA**

**W.P. No. 18031 of 2006**

**Oral order**:

Aggrieved by the action of the respondents in not regularizing his services, the petitioner filed the writ petition.

The writ petition was admitted on 20.08.2006, however, no counter affidavit is filed by the respondents.

Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the subject-matter of this writ petition is similar to the one in W.P. No. 17116 of 1996, which by order dated 16.02.2006 was allowed by this Court, and the writ appeal in W.A. No. 445 of 2006, filed against the said judgment was, was also dismissed by a Division Bench of this Court, and in view of the same, this writ petition also has to be allowed. Ms. D. Sadhana, representing Ms. G. Sudha, the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent, though admitted that the subject-matter of this writ petition is squarely covered by the above judgments of this Court, she however, sought adjournment.

Since it is admitted that the subject-matter of this writ petition is covered by the judgment of this Court in W.P. No. 17116 of 1996, dated 16.02.2006, which was even confirmed in writ appeal by a Division Bench of this Court, I am not inclined to grant adjournment.

Hence, following the judgment of this Court in W.P. No. 17116 of 1996, dated 16.02.2006, and for the reasons mentioned therein, this writ petition is also allowed by and in terms thereof. The Registry is directed to annexe a copy of the order in W.P. No. 17116 of 1996, dated 16.02.2006, while communicating this order. No costs.

_____

**N.V. RAMANA, J.**

**Dated: 6th September, 2007**
**KSR**